

Bullying in elementary school and psychotic experiences at 18 years: a longitudinal, population-based cohort study

D. Wolke^{1*†}, S. T. Lereya^{1†}, H. L. Fisher², G. Lewis³ and S. Zammit^{3,4}

¹Department of Psychology and Warwick Medical School, Division of Mental Health and Wellbeing, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

²MRC Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, London, UK

³Mental Health Sciences Unit, University College London, London, UK

⁴MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

Background. Victims of bullying are at risk for psychotic experiences in early adolescence. It is unclear if this elevated risk extends into late adolescence. The aim of this study was to test whether bullying perpetration and victimization in elementary school predict psychotic experiences in late adolescence.

Method. The current study is based on the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC), a prospective community-based study. A total of 4720 subjects with bullying perpetration and victimization were repeatedly assessed between the ages of 8 and 11 years by child and mother reports. Suspected or definite psychotic experiences were assessed with the Psychosis-Like Symptoms semi-structured interview at age 18 years.

Results. Controlling for child's gender, intelligence quotient at age 8 years, childhood behavioural and emotional problems, and also depression symptoms and psychotic experiences in early adolescence, victims [child report at 10 years: odds ratio (OR) 2.4, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.6–3.4; mother report: OR 1.6, 95% CI 1.1–2.3], bully/victims (child report at 10 years: OR 3.1, 95% CI 1.7–5.8; mother: OR 2.9, 95% CI 1.7–5.0) and bullies (child report at 10 years: OR 4.9, 95% CI 1.3–17.7; mother: OR 1.2, 95% CI 0.46–3.1, n.s.) had a higher prevalence of psychotic experiences at age 18 years. Path analysis revealed that the association between peer victimization in childhood and psychotic experiences at age 18 years was only partially mediated by psychotic or depression symptoms in early adolescence.

Conclusions. Involvement in bullying, whether as victim, bully/victim or bully, may increase the risk of developing psychotic experiences in adolescence. Health professionals should ask routinely during consultations with children about their bullying of and by peers.

Received 14 August 2013; Accepted 5 November 2013

Key words: ALSPAC, bullying, psychotic experiences, victimization.

Introduction

Sexual, emotional or physical abuse or neglect in childhood significantly increase the odds of psychotic symptoms in adolescence or adulthood (Varese *et al.* 2012). There is also evidence from cross-sectional and retrospective studies that systematic abuse of power by peers in the form of bullying may be associated with psychosis or non-clinical psychotic symptoms in 12- to 17-year-olds (van Dam *et al.* 2012; Varese *et al.* 2012) and adults (Trotta *et al.* 2013). Prospective studies in early adolescence confirm these findings (Schreier *et al.* 2009; Arseneault *et al.* 2011; Wigman *et al.* 2011; Mackie *et al.* 2011, 2013) and the predictive

relationships appear to be as high or even higher in prospective studies compared with cross-sectional studies (van Dam *et al.* 2012; Varese *et al.* 2012). Where assessed, psychotic symptoms were more persistent over a 24-month period amongst adolescents who were bullied (Mackie *et al.* 2011, 2013). Moreover, studies suggest that psychotic experiences in childhood predict psychotic experiences in adolescence and adulthood (Poulton *et al.* 2000; Welham *et al.* 2009). Hence, early psychotic experiences may mediate the association between bullying and psychotic experiences in later adulthood.

However, there are only a few longitudinal studies investigating the relationship between bullying and psychotic experiences (van Dam *et al.* 2012). Most have short follow-up periods ranging from 12 months (Kelleher *et al.* 2013b) to 5 years (Janssen *et al.* 2004; Spauwen *et al.* 2006; Schreier *et al.* 2009) and none of the previous studies assessed bullying behaviour in

* Address for correspondence: D. Wolke, Ph.D., Department of Psychology, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK.
(Email: D.Wolke@warwick.ac.uk)

† These authors contributed equally to this work.




Fig. 1. Flowchart of psychotic experience outcomes at age 18 years.

childhood and psychotic experiences into late adolescence and controlled for a range of pre-existing and concurrent psychiatric and cognitive problems. The follow-up of bullied children until early adulthood, when the first expression of psychosis is expected, is necessary to clarify the direction and strength of this association (van Dam *et al.* 2012). Furthermore, previous research indicates that not only victims but also bullies may more often have concurrent psychotic experiences (Kelleher *et al.* 2008; Nishida *et al.* 2008) and those who bully others and become victims themselves (bully/victims) have the highest risk for any mental health problems (Arseneault *et al.* 2010; Winsper *et al.* 2012). Moreover, a dose-response relationship between the number of victimization events and the risk for psychotic symptoms in early adolescence has been found (Schreier *et al.* 2009; Arseneault *et al.* 2011; Kelleher *et al.* 2013b), suggesting a potential causal relationship between bullying and psychotic symptoms. Finally, although previous research has linked victimization and psychosis-like experiences (Schreier *et al.* 2009; Arseneault *et al.* 2011), the mechanisms involved in this pathway are largely unknown. Previous cross-sectional studies indicated psychological and affective factors mediating the association between victimization and psychotic

experiences (Freeman & Fowler, 2009; Fisher *et al.* 2013a). It has been suggested that for some individuals depression may act as an indirect mechanism operating between peer victimization and the presence of psychotic experiences (Garety *et al.* 2007; Fisher *et al.* 2013a) and thus seems a pathway worth exploring for later onset of psychotic experiences.

Prospective designs are essential for studying possible causal directions between bullying and psychotic symptoms. Thus it has been recommended that 'future studies should assess bullying at an early age (e.g. primary school) to elucidate on time order in the causality of this association' (van Dam *et al.* 2012, p. 2471). The aim of this study, therefore, was to examine the relationship between involvement in bullying in elementary school and psychotic experiences at 18 years in a prospective birth cohort study. The specific questions were: (1) What is the association between the role taken in bullying (victim, bully, bully/victim), assessed by reports of child and mother separately between 8 and 11 years, and psychotic experiences at the age of 18 years? (2) Are the effects of peer victimization on psychotic experiences at 18 years mediated by psychotic or depression symptoms assessed in early adolescence (12–14 years)? (3) Are the observed associations independent of pre-existing or concurrent cognitive, emotional and behavioural problems?

Method

Participants

The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) is a birth cohort study, set in the UK, examining the determinants of development, health and disease during childhood and beyond (Golding *et al.* 2001). Briefly, 14541 women who were resident in Avon while pregnant, and had an expected delivery date between 1 April 1991 and 31 December 1992 were enrolled. From the first trimester of pregnancy parents completed postal questionnaires about themselves and the study child's health and development. Children were invited to attend annual assessment clinics, including face-to face interviews, and psychological and physical tests from 7 years onward. Please note that the study website contains details of all of the data that are available through a fully searchable data dictionary (<http://www.bris.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/data-access/data-dictionary/>). This study is based on 4720 children who participated in the Psychosis-Like Symptoms semi-structured interview (PLIKSi) at approximately 18 years of age (Zammit *et al.* 2013) (see Fig. 1). Longitudinal assessments were available on 4646 children. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and

Law Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees and informed consent from all participants.

Measures

Outcome variable: psychotic experiences at age 18 years

The PLIKSi (Zammit *et al.* 2013) is a semi-structured instrument that draws on the principles of a standardized clinical examination developed for the Schedules for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry (SCAN; World Health Organization, 1994). Psychology graduates trained in assessment of the SCAN Psychosis Section and in use of the PLIKSi asked 11 'core' questions eliciting key psychotic experiences occurring since age 12 years, covering hallucinations (visual and auditory), delusions (spied on, persecution, thoughts read, reference, control, grandiosity), and experiences of thought interference (broadcasting, insertion and withdrawal). Any unspecified delusions elicited were also rated. Cross-questioning was used to establish the presence of experiences, and coding followed the glossary definitions and rating rules for SCAN. Interviewers rated experiences as not present, suspected, or definitely present. We classed individuals as having psychotic experiences if they reported suspected or definite psychotic experiences not attributable to the effects of sleep or fever ($n=374$, 7.9%) (see Zammit *et al.* 2013).

Predictor variables

Bullying variables were constructed from child and mother reports. Child reports were collected at 8 and 10 years, using the previously validated Bullying and Friendship Interview Schedule (Wolke *et al.* 2012). Five questions were asked about experiences of overt bullying (for giving and receiving): personal belongings taken; threatened or blackmailed; hit or beaten up; tricked in a nasty way; called bad/nasty names. Also, four questions (for giving and receiving) were asked about relational bullying: exclusion to upset the child; coercive pressure to do things she/he did not want to; lies/nasty things said about others; games spoilt. Due to the skewed distribution, overt victimization was coded as present if the child confirmed that at least one of the five behaviours occurred repeatedly (four or more times in the past 6 months) or very frequently (at least once per week in the past 6 months). Similarly, relational victimization was coded as present if the child confirmed that at least one of the four behaviours occurred repeatedly or very frequently. The same criteria were applied for bullying perpetration.

A bullying status variable was constructed by summing any victimization and any bullying perpetration (overt and/or relational). The following categories were derived: neutral (no bullying victimization or perpetration); bully/victim (any reported victimization and any reported bullying perpetration); pure victim (any victimization reported but no perpetration); and pure bully (any perpetration reported but no victimization). A chronic victimization variable was also constructed: no victimization; unstable (victimization at 8 or 10 years); and stable (victimization at both 8 and 10 years).

Mother-reported victimization was assessed in a single item of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ; Goodman, 1997): 'child is picked on or bullied by other children'. Bullying was also assessed by a single item: 'in the past year the child has bullied or threatened someone'. If the response was 'applies somewhat' or 'certainly applies' at any time point (8, 9.5 and 11 years), the child was considered a mother-reported victim or bully (Schreier *et al.* 2009). The following mother-reported bullying variables were constructed: neutral; bully/victim; pure victim; and pure bully. A chronic victimization variable was constructed: none; unstable=one time point; stable=two or three time points. The overall agreement between mothers and children was $\kappa=0.21$ ($p<0.001$), which is consistent with previous inter-rater agreement of bullying victimization (Rønning *et al.* 2009). Hence, results are presented separately for child-reported and mother-reported bullying.

Potential confounding factors

As intelligence quotient (IQ) scores have previously been associated with psychotic experiences (Horwood *et al.* 2008), an abbreviated form of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-III (UK version) (Weschler *et al.* 1992) was used to derive an overall IQ (grand mean=107.68, s.d.=16.09) for the children who attended the ALSPAC 8-year clinic.

Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth edition (DSM-IV) (APA, 1994) psychiatric diagnoses were made at 7 years using the Development and Well-being Assessment (DAWBA; Goodman *et al.* 2000) based on parent and teacher reports. The presence of any Axis I diagnosis of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, depression, or anxiety *versus* no diagnosis was considered. A total of 5.8% ($n=218$) of the children in the sample had any Axis I diagnosis.

Assessment of internalizing/externalizing behaviour was estimated using the sum of negative emotionality, hyperactivity and conduct problems taken from the

SDQ (Goodman, 1997) completed by parents at ages 8, 9.5 and 11 years (grand mean=15.90, s.d.=9.96).

Potential mediating factors between peer victimization and psychotic experiences at age 18 years

Psychotic experiences were measured at the ALSPAC clinic at age 12.9 years using the semi-structured, face-to-face PLIKSi in a manner similar to that at 18 years (Horwood et al. 2008). The PLIKSi outcome was coded as a binary variable based on the presence of one or more PLIKSi symptoms, suspected or definite (Schreier et al. 2009). A total of 13.4% ($n=517$) of the children in the sample had at least one psychotic experience at 12.9 years.

Depression symptoms were determined from the Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire (Angold et al. 1995) administered at 12, 13 (mother report) and 14 (child report) years. Each item is rated on a three-point scale with respect to the events of the past 2 weeks. Positive items were summed yielding a total score (maximum of 26 points). Scores were collapsed into a binary variable (scores of <11 indicated non-clinical symptoms, while scores of ≥ 11 indicated clinically relevant depressive symptoms) (Angold et al. 2002). A total of 10.7% ($n=464$) of children had depression symptoms at any time point.

Statistical methods

Selective drop-out was determined by comparing those who answered the PLIKSi at age 18 years with those who did not, using binary logistic regression in SPSS version 18 (IBM, USA) and odds ratios are reported with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) (Table 1). The prevalence of bullying involvement according to child and mother reports is also shown in Table 1. First, in order to assess whether involvement in bullying predicted psychotic experiences at age 18 years, three sets of logistic regression analyses were run (Table 2). Model A shows unadjusted analyses. Model B controlled for gender, IQ, any DSM-IV Axis I diagnosis (DAWBA), and internalizing/externalizing behaviour at elementary school age. Model C included all the preceding variables and additionally controlled for psychotic experiences at age 12 years and depression symptoms at age 12, 13 or 14 years. All three models were based on reduced samples, as not all the confounding variables were available for all of the participants. Path analysis using M-Plus version 6.12 was used to test the relationship of peer victimization, the association between potential confounders and psychotic experiences at age 18 years simultaneously. For this purpose, similar to previous studies (Lereya & Wolke, 2013; Lereya et al. 2013), bully/victims and victims

were combined. It was not possible to repeat this analysis for pure bullies, as the number of children in this category was too small. The WLSMV estimator (weighted least squares with robust standard errors, mean and variance adjusted) was used, due to its robustness and power when analysing both continuous and categorical outcomes (Flora & Curran, 2004). The coefficients are in the form of probit regressions. Probit coefficients represent the difference that a one-unit change in the predictor variable makes in the cumulative normal probability of the outcome variable (Muthén & Muthén, 2010).

Results

Differences between participants with and without the PLIKSi

The distribution of sociodemographic factors, family environment and child characteristics is shown for ALSPAC participants with and without the PLIKSi at 18 years in Table 1. Those who did not complete the PLIKSi were more often boys, non-white, born to single mothers of lower education level, and from families living in rented properties. These children were more likely to have a lower IQ at age 8 years, to have more behavioural problems during childhood and any DSM-IV psychiatric diagnosis at age 7 years. Also, they were less likely to be pure victims (according to child report at 8 years), bully/victims (according to child reports at age 8 and 10 year and mother report), and pure bullies (according to mother report). Those lost to follow-up were more likely to be pure victims according to mother report. There were no differences in internalizing/externalizing behaviour score and suspected or definite psychotic experiences at 12 years. However, participants had higher levels of depression symptoms in adolescence.

Prevalence of involvement in bullying

The prevalence of bullying involvement according to child and mother reports is shown in Table 1. Mothers were more likely to report victimization compared with children.

Involvement in bullying and psychotic symptoms at 18 years

The associations between different bullying roles and psychotic experiences at age 18 years are presented in Table 2. In model A, unadjusted analyses, bullying involvement in any role was associated with increased risk of psychotic experiences at age 18 years according to child report at age 10 years and mother report. After controlling for gender, any Axis I diagnosis

Table 1. Drop-out analysis with regard to availability of PLIKSi at 18 years

	Interview available, <i>n</i> (%)	Interview not available, <i>n</i> (%)	Available <i>v.</i> not available OR (95% CI)
Gender			
Male	2054 (43.5)	5486 (55.0)	Reference
Female	2666 (56.5)	4483 (45.0)	1.58 (1.48–1.70)***
Ethnicity			
White	4048 (95.7)	7426 (94.5)	Reference
Black	180 (4.3)	429 (5.5)	0.77 (0.64–0.92)***
Marital status			
Single	797 (18.3)	2484 (28.4)	Reference
Married	3551 (81.7)	6255 (71.6)	1.77 (1.62–1.94)***
Home ownership			
Mortgaged	3649 (84.6)	5910 (67.8)	Reference
Rent	664 (15.4)	2804 (32.2)	0.38 (0.35–0.42)***
Educational level of mother			
Below ordinary level	809 (18.8)	2919 (35.9)	Reference
Ordinary level or above	3484 (81.2)	5206 (64.1)	2.42 (2.21–2.64)***
FAI			
None	3881 (44.2)	2444 (55.9)	Reference
One or more adversities	4914 (55.9)	1927 (44.1)	0.62 (0.58–0.67)***
Mean IQ (s.d.)	107.68 (16.09)	100.68 (15.86)	1.03 (1.02–1.03)***
Any Axis I disorder (DAWBA)			
No	3545 (94.2)	4085 (92.2)	Reference
Yes	218 (5.8)	347 (7.8)	0.72 (0.61–0.86)***
Victimization status (child report) at 8 years ^a			
None	2308 (60.8)	1877 (57.0)	Reference
Pure victim	1221 (32.2)	1106 (33.6)	0.90 (0.81–0.99)*
Bully/victim	223 (5.9)	280 (8.5)	0.65 (0.54–0.78)***
Pure bully	41 (1.1)	32 (1.0)	1.04 (0.65–1.66)
Victimization status (child report) at 10 years ^a			
None	3131 (76.4)	2382 (72.5)	Reference
Pure victim	762 (18.6)	631 (19.2)	0.92 (0.82–1.03)
Bully/victim	175 (4.3)	242 (7.4)	0.55 (0.45–0.67)***
Pure bully	31 (0.8)	32 (1.0)	0.74 (0.45–1.21)
Victimization status (child report) chronicity			
None	1878 (51.7)	1216 (48.5)	Reference
Unstable	1304 (35.9)	901 (35.9)	0.94 (0.84–1.05)
Stable	449 (12.4)	392 (15.6)	0.74 (0.64–0.87)***
Victimization status (mother report) ^b			
None	2502 (58.7)	3047 (59.3)	Reference
Pure victim	1254 (29.4)	1307 (25.4)	1.17 (1.06–1.28)**
Bully/victim	328 (7.7)	475 (9.2)	0.84 (0.72–0.98)*
Pure bully	181 (4.2)	309 (6.0)	0.71 (0.59–0.86)**
Victimization status (mother report) chronicity			
None	1892 (61.2)	1544 (62.8)	Reference
Unstable	651 (21.1)	500 (20.3)	1.06 (0.93–1.22)
Stable	547 (17.7)	416 (16.9)	1.07 (0.93–1.24)
Mean total SDQ (s.d.)	15.90 (9.96)	17.46 (10.82)	0.99 (0.98–0.99)***
Psychotic experiences at age 12 years			
No	3345 (86.6)	2212 (85.9)	Reference
Yes	517 (13.4)	363 (14.1)	0.94 (0.82–1.09)

Table 1 (cont.)

	Interview available, <i>n</i> (%)	Interview not available, <i>n</i> (%)	Available <i>v.</i> not available OR (95% CI)
Depression symptoms ^c			
No	3871 (89.3)	3987 (92.1)	Reference
Yes	464 (10.7)	342 (7.9)	1.40 (1.21–1.62)***

PLIKSi, Psychosis-Like Symptoms semi-structured interview; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; FAI, Family Adversity Index; IQ, intelligence quotient; S.D., standard deviation; DAWBA, Development and Well-being Assessment; unstable, victimization reported only at one time point; stable, victimization reported at two or more time points; SDQ, Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire.

^a Overt or relational victimization.

^b Mother report at 8, 9 or 11 years.

^c Depression at 12, 13 or 14 years.

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Table 2. Associations between bullying behaviour and psychotic experiences at age 18 years

	Model A ^a OR (95% CI)	Model B ^b OR (95% CI)	Model C ^c OR (95% CI)
Bullying status			
Child report at 8 years, <i>n</i>	2424 ^d	2424 ^d	2424 ^d
None	Reference ^e	Reference ^e	Reference ^e
Pure victim	1.96 (1.01–3.80)*	1.72 (1.22–2.44)**	1.62 (1.14–2.31)**
Bully/victim	1.89 (1.34–2.65)***	1.74 (0.87–3.47)	1.57 (0.78–3.18)
Pure bully	5.44 (2.14–13.88)***	5.61 (2.16–14.52)***	4.51 (1.63–12.45)**
Child report at 10 years, <i>n</i>	2459	2459	2459
None	Reference	Reference	Reference
Pure victim	2.72 (1.90–3.87)***	2.51 (1.75–3.61)***	2.37 (1.64–3.43)***
Bully/victim	3.94 (2.25–6.90)***	3.69 (2.05–6.63)***	3.14 (1.71–5.75)***
Pure bully	3.94 (1.12–13.83)*	4.47 (1.26–15.94)*	4.85 (1.33–17.65)*
Mother report, <i>n</i>	2524	2524	2524
None	Reference	Reference	Reference
Pure victim	2.03 (1.42–2.90)***	1.83 (1.26–2.64)**	1.61 (1.10–2.34)*
Bully/victim	4.52 (2.91–7.03)***	3.42 (2.05–5.71)***	2.93 (1.73–4.96)***
Pure bully	1.18 (0.46–3.00)	1.08 (0.42–2.77)	1.20 (0.46–3.12)
Chronicity			
Child report, <i>n</i>	2381	2381	2381
None	Reference	Reference	Reference
Unstable	1.88 (1.30–2.73)**	1.77 (1.22–2.58)**	1.67 (1.14–2.46)**
Stable	3.78 (2.46–5.81)***	3.26 (2.09–5.08)***	2.90 (1.84–4.58)***
Mother report, <i>n</i>	2402	2402	2402
None	Reference	Reference	Reference
Unstable	2.12 (1.44–3.14)***	1.83 (1.23–2.74)**	1.65 (1.10–2.50)**
Stable	2.92 (1.98–4.29)***	2.27 (1.49–3.48)***	1.88 (1.22–2.90)**

OR, Odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; unstable, victimization reported only at one time point; stable, victimization reported at two or more time points; IQ, intelligence quotient.

^a Crude analyses.

^b Controlling for gender, any DSM-IV Axis I diagnosis at age 7 years, IQ and internalizing/externalizing behaviour.

^c Controlling for gender, any DSM-IV Axis I diagnosis at age 7 years, IQ, internalizing/externalizing behaviour, depression symptoms, and psychotic experiences at age 12 years.

^d Number of participants in analysis.

^e The reference group in all analyses consists of participants who are not victims.

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.




Fig. 2. Path analysis of gender, any Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, fourth edition (DSM-IV) Axis I diagnosis, intelligent quotient (IQ), peer victimization, internalizing/externalizing behaviour, psychotic experiences at 12 years, depression symptoms and psychotic experiences at 18 years. Peer victimization is at 8 or 10 years for child report and at 8, 9 or 11 years for mother report.

at age 7 years, IQ and internalizing/externalizing behaviours (model B) and after controlling for all confounders and mediators (model C), pure victims were more likely to have psychotic experiences at age 18 years according to all reports, bully/victims according to child report at age 10 years and mother report, and pure bullies according to child reports. Chronicity of victimization remained associated with psychotic experiences at age 18 years according to both child and mother reports.

Path analysis

Two separate models, depending on whether the child or mother reported on peer victimization (victim or bully/victim), were specified (Fig. 2). Two fit indices were computed: root-mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) (Browne & Cudeck, 1993) and the comparative fit index (CFI) (Bentler, 1990). For the RMSEA, values below 0.05 represent a close fit (Browne & Cudeck, 1993). The CFI yields values ranging from 0 to 1, with values above 0.90 indicating a close fit of the data to the model (Hu *et al.* 1992). Both models showed good fit (child report: RMSEA=0.011, CFI=1.00; mother report: RMSEA=0.000, CFI=1.00).

Both models showed significant direct effects of peer victimization on psychotic experiences at age 18 years. In both models, a probit coefficient of 0.13 indicates that a one-unit increase in victimization (from not victimized to victimized) resulted in an increase of 0.13 standard deviations in the predicted Z score of the cumulative normal probability distribution of psychotic experiences at age 18 years (Table 3).

Additionally, there was an indirect effect of peer victimization on psychotic experiences at age 18 years via psychotic experiences at age 12 years (probit coefficient: child and mother=0.07) and via depression symptoms (probit coefficient: child=0.03; mother=0.02) (Table 3). These effects were found despite controlling for all other associations simultaneously.

Discussion

This prospective study included repeated measures of childhood bullying by different informants and repeated assessments of psychotic experiences from early to late adolescence. Our results extend findings in several ways. Despite controlling for pre-existing cognitive and psychiatric problems, concurrent internalizing/externalizing behaviour and later depression and psychotic problems, significant associations between any role in bullying behaviour and psychotic experiences at 18 years were found. Consistent with previous findings, according to child report at 10 years and mother report during elementary school, bully/victims had greater risk for psychotic experiences at 18 years than victims (Arseneault *et al.* 2010; Winsper *et al.* 2012; Wolke *et al.* 2012). Consistent with recent cross-sectional studies (Kelleher *et al.* 2008; Nishida *et al.* 2008), bullies were also at increased risk for psychotic experiences according to child reports. However, the CIs were wide due to the small number of children reporting to be pure bullies. Moreover, according to mother reports, there was not a significant association between being a pure bully and psychotic experiences. Similar to previous research

Table 3. Unstandardized probit coefficients for the direct and indirect paths between peer victimization, psychotic experiences at age 12 years, depression symptoms and subsequent psychotic experiences outcome (at age 18 years)^a

	B	(s.e.)	p ^b
Child report model ^c			
Direct	0.13 ^d	(0.04)	0.003
Via psychosis experiences at age 12 years	0.07	(0.02)	<0.001
Via depression symptoms	0.03	(0.01)	0.026
Mother report model ^c			
Direct	0.13	(0.05)	0.007
Via psychosis experiences at age 12 years	0.07	(0.02)	<0.001
Via depression symptoms	0.02	(0.01)	0.032

B, Probit coefficient; s.e., Standard error; RMSEA, root-mean square error of approximation; CI, confidence interval; CFI, comparative fit index.

^aThe 'psychotic experiences at age 18 years' outcome is a categorical outcome.

^bTwo-tailed *p* value.

^cModel fit: child: RMSEA=0.011 (90% CI 0.000–0.033), CFI=1.00; mother: RMSEA=0.000 (90% CI 0.000–0.028), CFI=1.00.

^dA probit coefficient of 0.13 indicates that for each unit increase in peer victimization, there is an increase of 0.13 standard deviations in the predicted Z score of the cumulative normal distribution of psychotic experiences at age 18 years.

(Schreier *et al.* 2009; Kelleher *et al.* 2013b), we found a dose–response relationship, with children who were chronically bullied more likely to have psychotic experiences than those who were only bullied at one time point. As recently shown, being bullied may increase the incidence of psychotic experiences (Kelleher *et al.* 2013b) and act as a developmental marker for the development of later psychotic experiences. Consistent with previous findings suggesting that bully/victims are the most troubled children (Juvonen *et al.* 2003) and at risk for a range of psychiatric problems (Arseneault *et al.* 2010; Copeland *et al.* 2013), this study showed that not only those who are chronic victims but also bully/victims are at highest risk for psychotic experiences in late adolescence.

Previous research suggested that peer victimization predicts psychotic experiences in early adolescence (Goodman *et al.* 2000; Schreier *et al.* 2009) and these in turn may increase the likelihood of later psychotic experiences (Kelleher *et al.* 2013b). Additionally, affective routes from childhood trauma to psychotic experiences have been previously proposed (Myin-Germeys & van Os, 2007). A previous report from the ALSPAC cohort showed that depression mediated effects of bullying victimization on psychotic experiences at age 12 years (Fisher *et al.* 2013a). However, a recent study found that a persistent trajectory of psychotic experiences over a 24-month period in adolescence was also predicted by depression symptoms but this became non-significant when adolescent peer victimization was considered (Mackie *et al.* 2011). Our path analysis demonstrated that a substantial proportion of the relationship between being bullied

and psychotic experiences was not mediated by depression or previous psychotic experiences. The 'direct' effects may suggest traumatic reactions to victimization which may result in increased suspiciousness of others or detachment from reality (Read *et al.* 2005). Alternatively, the unexplained proportion of the associations could represent residual confounding or mediating factors that were not measured in this study. Depression was found to partially mediate the relationship between being bullied and psychotic experiences. Previous research consistently found that being bullied also increases the risk of depression (Reijntjes *et al.* 2010; Copeland *et al.* 2013; Zwierzyńska *et al.* 2013) and affective problems provide an additional link to increased risk for psychotic experiences (Garety *et al.* 2007). This indicates, however, that some children who are bullied or bully in elementary school may not develop other mental health problems, such as depression, before showing psychotic experiences in late adolescence.

Although longitudinal paths identified were identical in child and mother reports, the overall agreement between different informants on peer victimization was low, congruent with previous research (Rønning *et al.* 2009; Copeland *et al.* 2013). Children themselves reported being victimized more often than their parents. Many children suffer in silence, with up to 40% not telling either their parents or teachers when being victimized (Wolke *et al.* 2000; Houndoumadi & Pateraki, 2001).

How does being involved in bullying lead to psychotic experiences? Rather than a cause, exposure to bullying may be a developmental marker for the risk

of later psychotic experiences (Schreier *et al.* 2009). In those who develop psychotic disorders, abnormalities in social adjustment and motor performance have been noted already during childhood (Done *et al.* 1994). However, there is increasing evidence of a causal relationship for bullying involvement and mental health outcomes. Even when children share the same genes and the same family environment, such as monozygotic twins, but are discordant for bullying, only the twin exposed to peer victimization has been shown to have heightened risk for mental health problems (Arseneault *et al.* 2008, 2011; Fisher *et al.* 2012). A recent report showed that even when controlling for the effects of psychotic experiences on exposure to bullying or other forms of trauma, unique causal effects of bullying on the incidence of psychotic experiences were found (Kelleher *et al.* 2013b). Similarly, we controlled for pre-existing and co-existing cognitive, emotional and behaviour problems and even subsequent early adolescence psychotic experiences and depression and still found an association between bullying involvement and psychotic experiences in late adolescence.

The routes of how peer victimization may lead to psychotic symptoms are multiple and likely to be indicated by different biological, cognitive and social domains as has been suggested for childhood abuse (Cicchetti, 2010; Burnette & Cicchetti, 2012). Being bullied may alter the physiological response to stress (Ouellet-Morin *et al.* 2011), affect telomere length (Shalev *et al.* 2013) or the epigenome (Ouellet-Morin *et al.* 2013), interact with a genetic vulnerability to psychotic disorders, alter brain circuitry (Teicher *et al.* 2010) and may change cognitive responses to the environment (Toblin *et al.* 2005; Hixon, 2009), produce negative schemas about the self and the world (Freeman & Fowler, 2009) and affect maladjustment through moderation by self-blame cognitions (Perren *et al.* 2013). Hence, a childhood marked by victimization may have an impact on threat perceptions and generate psychotic experiences – more specifically delusions and hallucinations (Arseneault *et al.* 2011). These in turn may predispose bullied children to develop depression (Harkness *et al.* 2011) or psychotic experiences (van Winkel *et al.* 2008; Bradley & Dinan, 2010). Based on studies into conduct disorder, bullies are also likely to show heightened reactivity to potential threats in the environment (Sterzer & Stadler, 2009) and altered hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis activity (van Bokhoven *et al.* 2005), both of which may put them at increased risk for psychosis (Toblin *et al.* 2005; Bradley & Dinan, 2010). Bully/victims may have the most biological and cognitive alterations as they have characteristics of both victims and bullies, thus potentially having an even greater risk for

depression and psychosis. Overall, adverse life events may render individuals vulnerable to psychotic experiences via increasing their emotional reactivity to subsequent stressors (Mackie *et al.* 2011). Each of these aspects of maladaptive stress responses should be targets for future research efforts.

Strengths and limitations

Strengths of the present study are: (1) the prospective study design with repeated assessments during childhood and adolescence; (2) the use of multiple informants of peer victimization providing converging evidence for an association between being bullied and psychotic experiences across informants; (3) a population-based design that minimized selection biases; (4) availability of information on a variety of cognitive, pre- or concurrent psychiatric disorders to control for confounding; (5) the ability to investigate all those involved in bullying including victims, bully/victims and bullies; and (6) the use of path analysis enabling the control of all potential relationships between the variables which is not possible with binary logistic regression or multiple mediation models.

With regard to limitations, first, not all children attended the psychosis interview. Those with higher family adversity were more likely to drop out, reducing statistical power. Nevertheless, empirical simulations demonstrate that even when drop-out is correlated to predictor/confounder variables, the relationship between predictors and outcome is unlikely to be substantially altered by selective drop-out processes (Wolke *et al.* 2009). Indeed, despite selective drop-out, we found strong and hypothesized associations between risk exposures and psychotic experiences. Second, although psychotic experiences were assessed after the bullying assessment, the age at which the symptoms actually started was not assessed and no assessment of psychotic experiences prior to the first bullying assessment at 7 years is available. Thus, it cannot be ruled out that psychotic symptoms might have been present before peer victimization. However, the relationship was not affected by general mental health problems assessed in childhood. Moreover, while psychotic experiences can be assessed reliably in adolescence, there are no reliable assessments of psychotic symptoms in children before age 8 years and to distinguish them from normal developmental experiences (Garralda, 1984; Edelson, 2006). Reassuring are recent findings that even when controlling for the effects of psychotic experiences on bullying, being bullied has unique effects on the incidence of psychotic experiences (Kelleher *et al.* 2013b). In addition, although both sexual abuse and substance use have been linked with psychotic experiences

(Arseneault et al. 2011; Mackie et al. 2011), they were not included as confounders. However, the psychotic experiences at age 18 years were not attributable to sleep, fever or substance use. Lastly, the mothers' and children's reports could not be formally compared as they were fundamentally different measures. Moreover, mother-reported bullying was assessed with a single item and this may have limited reliability compared with the more comprehensive child interview. Nevertheless, expected associations were found.

Conclusion

Involvement in any role in bullying may increase the risk of developing psychotic experiences in adolescence. This is extremely concerning, as psychotic experiences have been shown to put individuals at risk of a range of severe psychiatric disorders (Fisher et al. 2013b) and may greatly increase the likelihood of attempting suicide (Kelleher et al. 2013a). A substantial number of children who are involved in bullying and have psychotic experiences in late adolescence may not develop depression and psychotic experiences in early adolescence and thus may not be detected early by mental health services. Therefore, bullying should be assessed and monitored by health professionals (Scrabstein, 2009) and interventions that reduce victimization should be available (Ttofi & Farrington, 2011). Such interventions are likely to reduce human suffering and long-term mental health costs and provide a safer environment for children to grow up in.

Acknowledgements

Data collection was partly funded by a UK Medical Research Council (MRC) grant (G0701503). The work of D.W. and S.T.L. on this study was supported by an Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) grant (ES/K003593/1). H.L.F. is funded by an MRC Population Health Scientist fellowship (G1002366). S.Z. received support from a Clinician Scientist Award funded by the National Assembly for Wales. G.L. is a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Senior Investigator. None of the funding bodies had any further role in the collection, analysis or interpretation of data, the writing of the manuscript, or the decision to submit the paper for publication. It does not reflect the views of the ALSPAC executive.

We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists and nurses. We are also grateful for statistical advice from Jon Heron.

Declaration of Interest

None.

References

- Angold A, Costello EJ, Messer SC, Pickles A, Winder F, Silver D (1995). The development of a short questionnaire for use in epidemiological studies of depression in children and adolescents. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research* 5, 237–249.
- Angold A, Erkanli A, Silberg J, Eaves L, Costello EJ (2002). Depression scale scores in 8–17-year-olds: effects of age and gender. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines* 43, 1052–1063.
- APA (1994). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Health Disorders*, 4th edn, revised. American Psychiatric Association: Washington, DC.
- Arseneault L, Bowes L, Shakoor S (2010). Bullying victimization in youths and mental health problems: “Much ado about nothing”? *Psychological Medicine* 40, 717–729.
- Arseneault L, Cannon M, Fisher HL, Polanczyk G, Moffitt TE, Caspi A (2011). Childhood trauma and children's emerging psychotic symptoms: a genetically sensitive longitudinal cohort study. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 168, 65–72.
- Arseneault L, Milne BJ, Taylor A, Adams F, Delgado K, Caspi A, Moffitt TE (2008). Being bullied as an environmentally mediated contributing factor to children's internalizing problems: a study of twins discordant for victimization. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine* 162, 145–150.
- Bentler PM (1990). Comparative fit indexes in structural models. *Psychological Bulletin* 107, 238–246.
- Bradley AJ, Dinan TG (2010). Review: a systematic review of hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis function in schizophrenia: implications for mortality. *Journal of Psychopharmacology* 24, 91–118.
- Browne MW, Cudeck R (1993). Alternative ways of assessing model fit. In *Testing Structural Equation Models* (ed. K. A. Bollen and J. S. Long), pp. 136–162. Sage: Newbury Park, CA.
- Burnette ML, Cicchetti D (2012). Multilevel approaches toward understanding antisocial behavior: current research and future directions. *Development and Psychopathology* 24, 703–704.
- Cicchetti D (2010). Resilience under conditions of extreme stress: a multilevel perspective. *World Psychiatry* 9, 145–154.
- Copeland WE, Wolke D, Angold A, Costello EJ (2013). Adult psychiatric and suicide outcomes of bullying and being bullied by peers in childhood and adolescence. *JAMA Psychiatry* 70, 419–426.
- Done DJ, Crow TJ, Johnstone EC, Sacker A (1994). Childhood antecedents of schizophrenia and affective illness: social adjustment at ages 7 and 11. *British Medical Journal* 309, 699–703.

- Edelsohn GA (2006). Hallucinations in children and adolescents: considerations in the emergency setting. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **163**, 781–785.
- Fisher HL, Caspi A, Poulton R, Meier MH, Houts R, Harrington H, Arseneault L, Moffitt TE (2013b). Specificity of childhood psychotic symptoms for predicting schizophrenia by 38 years of age: a birth cohort study. *Psychological Medicine* **43**, 2077–2086.
- Fisher HL, Moffitt TE, Houts RM, Belsky DW, Arseneault L, Caspi A (2012). Bullying victimisation and risk of self harm in early adolescence: longitudinal cohort study. *British Medical Journal* **344**, e2683.
- Fisher HL, Schreier A, Zammit S, Maughan B, Munafò MR, Lewis G, Wolke D (2013a). Pathways between childhood victimization and psychosis-like symptoms in the ALSPAC birth cohort. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **39**, 1045–1055.
- Flora DB, Curran P (2004). An empirical evaluation of alternative methods of estimation for confirmatory factor analysis with ordinal data. *Psychological Methods* **9**, 466–491.
- Freeman D, Fowler D (2009). Routes to psychotic symptoms: trauma, anxiety and psychosis-like experiences. *Psychiatry Research* **169**, 107–112.
- Garety PA, Bebbington PE, Fowler D, Freeman D, Kuipers E (2007). Implications for neurobiological research of cognitive models of psychosis: a theoretical paper. *Psychological Medicine* **37**, 1377–1391.
- Garralda ME (1984). Hallucinations in children with conduct and emotional disorders I: the clinical phenomena. *Psychological Medicine* **14**, 589–596.
- Golding J, Pembrey M, Jones R (2001). ALSPAC – The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology* **15**, 74–87.
- Goodman R (1997). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: a research note. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines* **38**, 581–586.
- Goodman R, Ford T, Richards H, Gatward R, Meltzer H (2000). The Development and Well-Being Assessment: description and initial validation of an integrated assessment of child and adolescent psychopathology. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines* **41**, 645–655.
- Harkness KL, Stewart JG, Wynne-Edwards KE (2011). Cortisol reactivity to social stress in adolescents: role of depression severity and child maltreatment. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **36**, 173–181.
- Hixon S (2009). Psychosocial processes associated with bullying and victimization. *Humanistic Psychologist* **37**, 257–270.
- Horwood J, Salvi G, Thomas K, Duffy L, Gunnell D, Hollis C, Lewis G, Menezes P, Thompson A, Wolke D, Zammit S, Harrison G (2008). IQ and non-clinical psychotic symptoms in 12-year-olds: results from the ALSPAC birth cohort. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **193**, 185–191.
- Houndoumadi A, Pateraki L (2001). Bullying and bullies in Greek elementary schools: pupils' attitudes and teachers'/parents' awareness. *Educational Review* **53**, 19–26.
- Hu L-T, Bentler PM, Kano Y (1992). Can test statistics in covariance structure analysis be trusted? *Psychological Bulletin* **112**, 351–362.
- Janssen I, Krabbendam L, Bak M, Hanssen M, Vollebergh W, De Graaf R, Van Os J (2004). Childhood abuse as a risk factor for psychotic experiences. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* **109**, 38–45.
- Juvonen J, Graham S, Schuster MA (2003). Bullying among young adolescents: the strong, the weak, and the troubled. *Pediatrics* **112**, 1231–1237.
- Kelleher I, Corcoran P, Keeley H, Wigman JT, Devlin N, Ramsay H, Wasserman C, Carli V, Sarchiapone M, Hoven C, Wasserman D, Cannon M (2013a). Psychotic symptoms and population risk for suicide attempt: a prospective cohort study. *JAMA Psychiatry* **70**, 940–948.
- Kelleher I, Harley M, Lynch F, Arseneault L, Fitzpatrick C, Cannon M (2008). Association between childhood trauma, bullying and psychotic symptoms among a school-based adolescent sample. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **193**, 378–382.
- Kelleher I, Keeley H, Corcoran P, Ramsay H, Wasserman C, Carli V, Sarchiapone M, Hoven C, Wasserman D, Cannon M (2013b). Childhood trauma and psychosis in a prospective cohort study: cause, effect, and directionality. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **170**, 734–741.
- Lereya ST, Winsper C, Heron J, Lewis G, Gunnell D, Fisher HL, Wolke D (2013). Being bullied during childhood and the prospective pathways to self-harm in late adolescence. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* **52**, 608–618.
- Lereya ST, Wolke D (2013). Prenatal family adversity and maternal mental health and vulnerability to peer victimisation at school. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines* **54**, 644–652.
- Mackie CJ, Castellanos-Ryan N, Conrod PJ (2011). Developmental trajectories of psychotic-like experiences across adolescence: impact of victimization and substance use. *Psychological Medicine* **41**, 47–58.
- Mackie CJ, O'Leary-Barrett M, Al-Khudhairi N, Castellanos-Ryan N, Struve M, Topper L, Conrod P (2013). Adolescent bullying, cannabis use and emerging psychotic experiences: a longitudinal general population study. *Psychological Medicine* **43**, 1033–1044.
- Muthén LK, Muthén BO (2010). *Mplus User's Guide*, 6th edn. Muthén & Muthén: Los Angeles.
- Myin-Germeys I, Van Os J (2007). Stress-reactivity in psychosis: evidence for an affective pathway to psychoses. *Clinical Psychology Review* **27**, 409–424.
- Nishida A, Tani H, Nishimura Y, Kajiki N, Inoue K, Okada M, Sasaki T, Okazaki Y (2008). Associations between psychotic-like experiences and mental health status and other psychopathologies among Japanese early teens. *Schizophrenia Research* **99**, 125–133.
- Ouellet-Morin I, Danese A, Bowes L, Shakoor S, Ambler A, Pariante CM, Papadopoulos AS, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Arseneault L (2011). A discordant monozygotic twin design shows blunted cortisol reactivity among bullied children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* **50**, 574–582.e3.
- Ouellet-Morin I, Wong CCY, Danese A, Pariante CM, Papadopoulos AS, Mill J, Arseneault L (2013). Increased

- serotonin transporter gene (*SERT*) DNA methylation is associated with bullying victimization and blunted cortisol response to stress in childhood: a longitudinal study of discordant monozygotic twins. *Psychological Medicine* **43**, 1813–1823.
- Perren S, Ettekal I, Ladd G** (2013). The impact of peer victimization on later maladjustment: mediating and moderating effects of hostile and self-blaming attributions. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* **54**, 46–55.
- Poulton R, Caspi A, Moffitt T, Cannon M, Murray R, Harrington HL** (2000). Children's self-reported psychotic symptoms and adult schizophreniform disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **57**, 1053–1058.
- Read J, Van Os J, Morrison AP, Ross CA** (2005). Childhood trauma, psychosis and schizophrenia: a literature review with theoretical and clinical implications. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* **112**, 330–350.
- Reijntjes A, Kamphuis JH, Prinzie P, Telch MJ** (2010). Peer victimization and internalizing problems in children: a meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Child Abuse and Neglect* **34**, 244–252.
- Rønning J, Sourander A, Kumpulainen K, Tamminen T, Niemelä S, Moilanen I, Helenius H, Piha J, Almqvist F** (2009). Cross-informant agreement about bullying and victimization among eight-year-olds: whose information best predicts psychiatric caseness 10–15 years later? *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* **44**, 15–22.
- Schreier A, Wolke D, Thomas K, Horwood J, Hollis C, Gunnell D, Lewis G, Thompson AE, Zammit S, Duffy L, Salvi G, Harrison G** (2009). Prospective study of peer victimization in childhood and psychotic symptoms in a nonclinical population at age 12 years. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **66**, 527–536.
- Scrabstein JC** (2009). Be aware of bullying: a critical public health responsibility. *Virtual Mentor* **11**, 173–177.
- Shalev I, Moffitt TE, Sugden K, Williams B, Houts RM, Danese A, Mill J, Arseneault L, Caspi A** (2013). Exposure to violence during childhood is associated with telomere erosion from 5 to 10 years of age: a longitudinal study. *Molecular Psychiatry* **18**, 576–581.
- Spauwen J, Krabbendam L, Lieb R, Wittchen HU, Van Os J** (2006). Impact of psychological trauma on the development of psychotic symptoms: relationship with psychosis proneness. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **188**, 527–533.
- Sterzer P, Stadler C** (2009). Neuroimaging of aggressive and violent behaviour in children and adolescents. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience* **3**, 35.
- Teicher MH, Samson JA, Sheu Y-S, Polcari A, Mcgreenery CE** (2010). Hurtful words: association of exposure to peer verbal abuse with elevated psychiatric symptom scores and corpus callosum abnormalities. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **167**, 1464–1471.
- Toblin RL, Schwartz D, Hopmeyer Gorman A, Abou-Ezzeddine T** (2005). Social-cognitive and behavioral attributes of aggressive victims of bullying. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology* **26**, 329–346.
- Trotta A, Di Forti M, Mondelli V, Dazzan P, Pariante C, David A, Mule A, Ferraro L, Formica I, Murray RM, Fisher HL** (2013). Prevalence of bullying victimisation amongst first-episode psychosis patients and unaffected controls. *Schizophrenia Research* **150**, 169–175.
- Ttofi MM, Farrington DP** (2011). Effectiveness of school-based programs to reduce bullying: a systematic and meta-analytic review. *Journal of Experimental Criminology* **7**, 27–56.
- Van Bokhoven I, Van Goozen SHM, Van Engeland H, Schaal B, Arseneault L, Séguin JR, Nagin DS, Vitaro F, Tremblay RE** (2005). Salivary cortisol and aggression in a population-based longitudinal study of adolescent males. *Journal of Neural Transmission* **112**, 1083–1096.
- Van Dam DS, Van Der Ven E, Velthorst E, Selten JP, Morgan C, De Haan L** (2012). Childhood bullying and the association with psychosis in non-clinical and clinical samples: a review and meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine* **42**, 2463–2474.
- Van Winkel R, Stefanis NC, Myin-Germeys I** (2008). Psychosocial stress and psychosis. A review of the neurobiological mechanisms and the evidence for gene–stress interaction. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **34**, 1095–1105.
- Varese F, Smeets F, Drukker M, Lieveer R, Lataster T, Viechtbauer W, Read J, Van Os J, Bental RP** (2012). Childhood adversities increase the risk of psychosis: a meta-analysis of patient-control, prospective- and cross-sectional cohort studies. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **38**, 661–671.
- Welham J, Scott J, Williams G, Najman J, Bor W, O'Callaghan M, McGrath J** (2009). Emotional and behavioural antecedents of young adults who screen positive for non-affective psychosis: a 21-year birth cohort study. *Psychological Medicine* **39**, 625–634.
- Weschler D, Golombok S, Rust J** (1992). *WISC-III UK Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children*. Psychological Corp.: Sidcup.
- Wigman JTW, Van Winkela R, Raaijmakers QAW, Ormela J, Verhulst FC, Reijneveld SA, Van Os J, Vollebergh WAM** (2011). Evidence for a persistent, environment-dependent and deteriorating subtype of subclinical psychotic experiences: a 6-year longitudinal general population study. *Psychological Medicine* **41**, 2317–2329.
- Winsper C, Lereya T, Zanarini M, Wolke D** (2012). Involvement in bullying and suicide-related behavior at 11 years: a prospective birth cohort study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* **51**, 271–282.
- Wolke D, Schreier A, Zanarini MC, Winsper C** (2012). Bullied by peers in childhood and borderline personality symptoms at 11 years of age: a prospective study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines* **53**, 846–855.
- Wolke D, Waylen A, Samara M, Steer C, Goodman R, Ford T, Lamberts K** (2009). Selective drop-out in longitudinal studies and non-biased prediction of behaviour disorders. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **195**, 249–256.
- Wolke D, Woods S, Bloomfield L, Karstadt L** (2000). The association between direct and relational bullying and behaviour problems among primary school children. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* **41**, 989–1002.

World Health Organization (1994). *Schedules for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry*. American Psychiatric Research: Washington, DC.

Zammit S, Kounali D, Cannon M, David AS, Gunnell D, Heron J, Jones PB, Lewis S, Sullivan S, Wolke D, Lewis G (2013). Psychotic experiences, at-risk mental states and psychotic disorder at

age 18 in relation to psychotic experiences at age 12 in a longitudinal, population-based cohort study. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **170**, 742–750.

Zwierzynska K, Wolke D, Lereya T (2013). Peer victimization in childhood and internalizing problems in adolescence: a prospective longitudinal study. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology* **41**, 309–323.